IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No. 987 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

==========

1	Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2	To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3	Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?
4	Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 of any order made thereunder ?
5	Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

PATEL NATWERLAL PITAMBERDAS - Petitioner(s)

Versus

BHAVSAR ARUNKUMAR BHOGILAL &3 - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :

MR PK JANIfor Petitioner No(s).: 1.

Mr. Chetan Pandya for MR SV RAJU for Respondent No(s).: 1,2,3.

==========

CORAM :THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

Date : 20/06/2005

ORAL JUDGMENT

1.Mr. Chetan Pandya, learned advocate for Mr. S.V.Raju, learned advocate of the respondents, upon instructions received from the respondents, states that this Civil Revision Application is arising from the interlocutory order passed in Regular Civil Suit No. 171 of 1996. He further states that the said Regular Civil Suit No. 171 of 1996 has been disposed as as withdrawn by the original plaintiff, therefore this Civil Revision Application has become infructuous. He therefore urged to pass appropriate order disposing of this Civil Revision Application. Xerox copy of the certified copy of the order passed in Regular Civil Suit No. 171 of 1996 has been placed on record to show that the said Suit has been disposed of as withdrawn.

1.Since the Regular Civil Suit No. 171 of 1996 has been disposed of as withdrawn, according to this Court this Civil Revision Application has become infructuous, hence the same is disposed of with no order as to costs. Rule is discharged.

2.The certified xerox copy of the order passed in Regular Civil Suit No. 171 of 1996 shall be retained on record of the case.

(A.M.Kapadia,J)

Jayanti*